First International Forum on the Decommissioning of the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station 10<sup>th</sup>/11<sup>th</sup> April 2016

# **Session V**

# Sellafield Waste Management Strategy

### Phil Hallington – Sellafield Ltd



### Background

- 2 Square Miles
- >200 nuclear facilities
- Significant inventory
- Supporting nuclear generation
- Major national priority





### Sellafield has more than 60 years of history...



#### 1940s/50s

- Nuclear build begins
- Initially a military programme
- Later civil programme begins
- Waste stored safely pending treatment

1960s/70s

- Storage capacity extended incrementally
- Coarse segregation of waste arising from process
- Magnox reprocessing starts

- 1980s
- Main expansion • of site
- Major waste treatment focus
- Environmental impact substantially reduced

1990s

#### Commercialisation

- of reprocessing -Thorp comes •
- Waste arising from processes treated in 'real time'

online

Product waste forms compatible with disposal concepts

- NDA formed
  - Stop start progress in Decommissioning

2000s

- Calder Hall ceased generating power after 47 years in operation
- reprocessing Vitrification of all • overseas Highly

•

Active Waste complete

2010s

Decision taken to

end Thorp

- Decommissioning • gathering pace
- First sludge exports • from FGMSP



### **Decommissioning Challenges**

- Material at risk
  - Large and uncertain inventories
  - Uncertain material conditions; original and current
  - Characterisation ranges from difficult to extremely challenging
- Inadequate storage facilities
  - Uncertain design
  - Aging (~half-century or older)
  - Current condition and future service life unclear
- Extended (decades) hazard removal terms
  - Complex and unprecedented tasks
  - Variable confidence in schedule



### **Recent successes – Pile Fuel Storage Pond**

# Last skip of metal fuel removed

### **Decanner removal**





### Over 70% of the inventory safely retrieved in the past year



### **IWM Principles – links with Government policy**













### Waste Management Strategy

- Understand the arisings and therefore the options
- Consider the impacts on
  - High hazard and risk reduction
  - Environment
  - ALARP/BAT
  - Costs
- 10- 50 year look ahead
  - Geological Disposal Facility
    - 2040 for intermediate level waste
    - 2075 for high level waste
- Legacy waste retrievals
  - We have continued to seek the best available approach
- Spent fuel is not categorised as waste in the UK
  - Reprocessing waste handled in near real time
- Potential for significant and targeted innovation
  - Challenge the paradigm
  - Technically rigorous solutions
  - Commanding broad support from Regulators and key stakeholders







### **Challenging the paradigm – an example**





### **Innovative technologies**











### **Understanding and planning**





### **Strategy enabling End State delivery**









### **Regulation at Sellafield**

- Robust, independent regulation ENFORCEMENT
- Collaborative working to deliver hazard and risk reduction ENGAGEMENT & ENCOURAGEMENT
- Demanding and effective Internal Regulatory function
- Efficient delivery through "Engagement Week" model
- Regulatory Interface Meeting
- Transparency and Publication



### What next?

- Unrelenting focus on maintaining nuclear safety and security
- Successful transition completed now wholly owned NDA subsidiary
- Driving fit for purpose solutions, such as
  - Pile Fuel Cladding Silo
  - Removal of ventilation stacks
  - Completion of Magnox reprocessing
  - Start-up of new HA Evaporator
- Effective and Efficient spend of public money, delivering lasting value
- Integrated waste strategy is key to effective delivery

